





Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





Flavour tagging and flavour oscillation measurements at LHCb

Epiphany 2013 Conference 7–9 January 2013 – Cracow, Poland Christophe Cauet – on behalf of the LHCb collaboration



Christophe Cauet | Flavour tagging and flavour oscillation measurements at LHCb | Epiphany 2013 Cracow | Jan. 9th 2013





The LHCb detector

- 1.0 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV in 2011
 - (2.1 fb⁻¹ at 8 TeV in 2012)
- data taking efficiency >90%
- trigger: 20 MHz collision rate reduced to 4 kHz output rate
 - 90% efficient for dimuon channels
 - 30% efficient for multi-body hadronic final states











A brief reminder: flavour oscillations

- Neutral mesons can oscillate between matter and anti-matter states because mass-eigenstates differ from flavour-eigenstates.
- Mixing is well established in the (Kaon and) B meson system, while it's almost unexplored in the D meson system.
- Mixing is characterized by the mass difference and the decay width difference of the two mass eigenstates.

$$\Delta m \equiv m_H - m_L \qquad x \equiv \frac{\Delta m}{\Gamma}$$
$$\Delta \Gamma \equiv \Gamma_H - \Gamma_L \qquad y \equiv \frac{\Delta \Gamma}{2\Gamma}$$
$$\Gamma \equiv (\Gamma_H + \Gamma_L)/2 \qquad y \equiv \frac{\Delta \Gamma}{2\Gamma}$$

• e.g. the $B_q - \overline{B}_q$ oscillation frequency is measured by the asymmetry

$$\mathcal{A}_{q}(t) = \frac{N_{\text{unmixed}}^{sig}(t) - N_{\text{mixed}}^{sig}(t)}{N_{\text{unmixed}}^{sig}(t) + N_{\text{mixed}}^{sig}(t)} = \mathcal{D} \cos \Delta m_{q} t$$





Flavour Tagging @LHCb

Investigate the initial flavour of the signal B meson







Eur. Phys. J. C (2012) 72:2022 LHCb-CONF-2012-033



- Tagging performance
 - tagging efficiency

technische universität

dortmund

- mistag fraction
- tagging power

$$\varepsilon_{\text{tag}} = \frac{N_{\text{tagged}}}{N_{\text{tagged}} + N_{\text{untagged}}}$$
$$\omega = \frac{N_{\text{wrong tagged}}}{N_{\text{right tagged}} + N_{\text{wrong tagged}}}$$
$$\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon_{\text{tag}} (1 - 2\omega)^2 = \varepsilon_{\text{tag}} \mathcal{D}^2$$

- a tag decision d_i and
- a mistag probability estimate η_i
 (based on neural net trainend on MC)
 - necessary step: calibrate η on data to fit the true mistag fraction ω
- combination of all taggers (OST+SST) or just OST gives a combined d and η





Flavour Tagging @LHCb

- Use the self-tagging channels $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$, $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}$ and, $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}\mu^+ \upsilon_{\mu}$ to measure the tagging performance
- Optimize tagging power and calibrate the mistag probability prediction using the channel $B^+{\rightarrow}J/\psi K^+$
- Linear calibration function

$$\omega(\eta_c) = p_0 + p_1(\eta_c - \langle \eta_c \rangle)$$

- perfect calibration if p₁=1 and p₀=<η_c>
- OST tagging power in the $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ channel

$$\varepsilon_{\rm eff} = \varepsilon_{\rm tag} \mathcal{D}^2 = (2.10 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.24)\%$$

Eur. Phys. J. C (2012) 72:2022 LHCb-CONF-2012-033







Measurement of Δm_d

- Status as of summer 2012
 - World average (HFAG) $\Delta m_d = 0.507 \pm 0.004 \, \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$
 - Best single measurement by Belle $\Delta m_d = 0.511 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.006 \, \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$
 - LHCb measurement with 37.7 pb⁻¹ (2010) $\Delta m_d = 0.499 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.003 \, {\rm ps}^{-1}$





Measurement of Δm_d

Time-dependent mixing asymmetry

$$\mathcal{A}_{d}(t) = \frac{N_{\text{unmixed}}^{sig}(t) - N_{\text{mixed}}^{sig}(t)}{N_{\text{unmixed}}^{sig}(t) + N_{\text{mixed}}^{sig}(t)} = \mathcal{D} \cos \Delta m_{d} t$$

- data sample
 - ~88k B⁰→D⁻π⁺
 - ~39k B⁰→J/ψ K*⁰
- OST+SSπ combination used with per-event mistag probability
- Major systematic uncertainty from background modeling











Measurement of Δm_d

Submitted to Phys. Lett. B LHCb-PAPER-2012-032 arxiv:1210.6750

Results

 $\Delta m_d (B^0 \to D^- \pi^+) = 0.5178 \pm 0.0061 (stat.) \pm 0.0037 (syst.) \, \text{ps}^{-1}$ $\Delta m_d (B^0 \to J/\psi K^{*0}) = 0.5096 \pm 0.0114 (stat.) \pm 0.0022 (syst.) \, \text{ps}^{-1}$

Combined

 $\Delta m_d = 0.5156 \pm 0.0051(stat.) \pm 0.0033(syst.) \, \text{ps}^{-1}$



• Belle: $\Delta m_d = 0.511 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.006 \, \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$

HFAG: $\Delta m_d = 0.507 \pm 0.004 \, \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$





Measurement of Δm_{s}

- Status as of summer 2012
 - measurement by CDF

 $\Delta m_s = 17.77 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.07 \, \mathrm{ps}^{-1}$

- best single measurement by LHCb preliminary (see the CONF note)
- Dataset 340 pb⁻¹ (¹/₃ 2011 data)
 - 9189 $B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi^+$ decays
 - $D_s \rightarrow \Phi \pi^-, D_s \rightarrow K^* K^-, D_s \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^- (non-resonant)$
 - OST per-event mistag probability and SSK tagging





Phys. Lett. B709 (2012) LHCb-CONF-2011-050

Measurement of Δm_s

Result



Largest systematic uncertainty from length-scale
Update with larger dataset is in progress





Charm oscillations

 Until now only the combination of BaBar, Belle, and CDF measurements confirms the existence of D meson oscillations.



Very low standard model rate with |x|, |y|≤O(10⁻²)
 potentially interesting for BSM physics searches





Charm oscillations with $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ Charm oscillations with $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$

Submitted to PRL LHCb-PAPER-2012-038 arXiv:1211.1230

Exploit interference between mixing and doubly-Cabibbo-suppressed decay amplitudes



Thanks to Angelo Di Canto for the illustration

With no CPV, and |x| and |y| << 1</p>

$$R(t)R(t)\frac{N_{WS}(t)}{N_{RS}(t)}R_{D}R_{D}R_{D}\sqrt{R_{D}R_{D}}\frac{t}{\tau}'t + \frac{x'x''+y'y'^2}{44}\left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^2$$

 $x' = x\cos\delta + y\sin\delta, x'y = x\cos\delta + y\sin\delta, y' = y\cos\delta + y\sin\delta, y' = y\cos\delta - \theta \sin\delta - \theta \sin\delta - \theta \sin\delta - \theta \sin\delta + y\sin\delta +$



Submitted to PRL

arXiv:1211.1230

LHCb-PAPER-2012-038



Charm oscillations with $D^0{\rightarrow}K^+\pi^-$

- 1.0 fb⁻¹ of 2011 LHCb data
- Count WS and RS events in bins of decay time
- Fit the ratio of yields vs decay time





- Dominant systematic uncertainties are treated within the fit procedure
- \blacktriangleright no-mixing hypothesis excluded at 9.1 σ

technische universität dortmund

Conclusion

- LHCb features
 - clean signals
 - excellent decay time resolution
 - flavour tagging understood and under control
- Best measurements of Δm_d and Δm_s

Phys. Lett. B709 (2012) 340 pb⁻¹ $\Delta m_{\rm s} = 17.77 \pm 0.10(stat.) \pm 0.07(syst.) \, {\rm ps}^{-1}$ LHCb-CONF-2011-050 $\Delta m_d = 0.511 \pm 0.005(stat.) \pm 0.006(syst.) \, \text{ps}^{-1}$ LHCb-PAPER-2012-032 1.0 fb⁻¹

First observation of charm oscillations from a single measurement

 $x'^2 = (-0.9 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-4}$ $y' = (7.2 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-3}$

 $R_D = (3.52 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$

- Now we recorded a total of 3 fb⁻¹
 - Updates are in progress, stay tuned...

LHCb-PAPER-2012-038 1.0 fb⁻¹ arXiv:1211.1230

arxiv:1210.6750

