Hadronic composition as a characteristics of jet quenching at the LHC

Sebastian Sapeta

Jagellonian University, Cracow, Poland

in collaboration with Urs Achim Wiedemann

based on arXiv:0707.3494[hep-ph]

Cracow Epiphany Conference on LHC Physics, 4 - 6 January 2008, Cracow, Poland

JETS AT SMALL x



JETS AT SMALL x



•
$$x = \frac{p}{E_{jet}}$$
 - fraction of jet energy carried by the hadron

JETS AT SMALL x



- $x = \frac{p}{E_{jet}}$ fraction of jet energy carried by the hadron
- perturbative approach of Modified Leading Logarithmic Approximation (MLLA)
- hypothesis of Local Hadron-Parton Duality (LPHD)

THE PROCESS



THE PROCESS



Highly energetic jet superimposed on the top of the heavy ion background

Possible mechanisms medium affects hadrochemistry:

- color transfer effects
- flavor and baryon number exchange between medium and projectile
- recombination of partons from jet and medium
- recoil effects a.k.a. collisional energy loss
- medium components kicked into the jet cone
- momentum exchange between medium and projectile

Possible mechanisms medium affects hadrochemistry:

- color transfer effects
- flavor and baryon number exchange between medium and projectile
- recombination of partons from jet and medium
- recoil effects a.k.a. collisional energy loss
- medium components kicked into the jet cone
- momentum exchange between medium and projectile

Possible mechanisms medium affects hadrochemistry:

- color transfer effects
- flavor and baryon number exchange between medium and projectile
- recombination of partons from jet and medium
- recoil effects a.k.a. collisional energy loss
- medium components kicked into the jet cone
- momentum exchange between medium and projectile

This is likely to underestimate the medium-modifications of jet hadrochemistry. However, it may serve as a baseline on top of which other signatures of hadrochemical modifications can be established.

[Yu.L.Dokshitzer, S.I.Troyan, Ya.I.Azimov, V.A.Khoze; 1984-1992]

parton cascade xE jet $\theta_{\rm D}$ E_{jet} $(1-x)E_{iet}$ $\theta_{\rm A}$ $\theta_{\rm C}$

[Yu.L.Dokshitzer, S.I.Troyan, Ya.I.Azimov, V.A.Khoze; 1984-1992]



resummation of angular and energy logarithms – leading and subleading, running coupling, energy-momentum conservation

[Yu.L.Dokshitzer, S.I.Troyan, Ya.I.Azimov, V.A.Khoze; 1984-1992]



- resummation of angular and energy logarithms leading and subleading, running coupling, energy-momentum conservation
- the above boils down to the probabilistic picture of parton splittings with the prescription of exact angular ordering being the consequence of the quantum interference

[Yu.L.Dokshitzer, S.I.Troyan, Ya.I.Azimov, V.A.Khoze; 1984-1992]



- resummation of angular and energy logarithms leading and subleading, running coupling, energy-momentum conservation
- the above boils down to the probabilistic picture of parton splittings with the prescription of exact angular ordering being the consequence of the quantum interference
- pair of evolution equations for parton distributions inside a quark and gluon jets

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \theta} \begin{bmatrix} D_Q(\nu, \ln \theta) \\ D_G(\nu, \ln \theta) \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\Phi} \left(\nu + \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \theta} \right) \begin{bmatrix} D_Q(\nu, \ln \theta) \\ D_G(\nu, \ln \theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

 ν - Mellin conjugate to x

the solution: hump-backed plateau

$$D_g(\xi = \ln \frac{1}{x}, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$

 Q_0 – cutoff on k_{\perp} , Λ – QCD scale



the solution: hump-backed plateau

$$D_g(\xi = \ln \frac{1}{x}, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$

 Q_0 – cutoff on k_{\perp} , Λ – QCD scale

• LPHD, K_{LPHD} of the order of unity

$$\frac{dN^h}{d\xi} = K_{\text{LPHD}} D(\xi, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$



the solution: hump-backed plateau

$$D_g(\xi = \ln \frac{1}{x}, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$

 Q_0 – cutoff on k_{\perp} , Λ – QCD scale

PHD, K_{LPHD} of the order of unity

$$\frac{dN^h}{d\xi} = K_{\text{LPHD}} D(\xi, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$



the solution: hump-backed plateau

$$D_g(\xi = \ln \frac{1}{x}, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$

 Q_0 – cutoff on k_{\perp} , Λ – QCD scale

• LPHD, K_{LPHD} of the order of unity

$$\frac{dN^h}{d\xi} = K_{\text{LPHD}} D(\xi, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$

IDENTIFIED HADRONS

- $Q_0 \approx M_h$
- factor γ_h to account for other quantum numbers

$$\frac{dN^h}{d\xi} = K_{\rm LPHD} \,\gamma_h \, D_g(\xi, E_{\rm jet}, \theta_c, M_h, \Lambda)$$



the solution: hump-backed plateau

$$D_g(\xi = \ln \frac{1}{x}, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$

 Q_0 – cutoff on k_{\perp} , Λ – QCD scale

D LPHD, K_{LPHD} of the order of unity

$$\frac{dN^h}{d\xi} = K_{\text{LPHD}} D(\xi, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, Q_0, \Lambda)$$

IDENTIFIED HADRONS

- $Q_0 \approx M_h$
- factor γ_h to account for other quantum numbers

$$\frac{dN^h}{d\xi} = K_{\text{LPHD}} \, \gamma_h \, D_g(\xi, E_{\text{jet}}, \theta_c, M_h, \Lambda)$$



THE MODEL OF MEDIUM MODIFICATION

[N.Borghini, U.A.Wiedemann; 2005]

medium enhances the singular part of splitting functions, e.g.

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_F \left\{ \frac{2(1+f_{\text{med}})}{(1-z)_+} - (1+z) \right\}$$

THE MODEL OF MEDIUM MODIFICATION

[N.Borghini, U.A.Wiedemann; 2005]

medium enhances the singular part of splitting functions, e.g.

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_F \left\{ \frac{2(1+f_{\text{med}})}{(1-z)_+} - (1+z) \right\}$$

jet multiplicity distribution softens this is expected to be a generic feature of medium modification !!!



THE MODEL OF MEDIUM MODIFICATION

[N.Borghini, U.A.Wiedemann; 2005]

medium enhances the singular part of splitting functions, e.g.

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_F \left\{ \frac{2(1+f_{\text{med}})}{(1-z)_+} - (1+z) \right\}$$

- jet multiplicity distribution softens this is expected to be a generic feature of medium modification !!!
- enough to account for the observed suppression of single inclusive spectra





jet cone size: $\theta_c = 0.28$ factor 0.7 for kaons from jet $K_{\rm LPHD}$ assumed to be unchanged

Pure jets

significant difference of hadron ratios for medium modified and unmodified jets at high momenta



jet cone size: $\theta_c = 0.28$ factor 0.7 for kaons from jet $K_{\rm LPHD}$ assumed to be unchanged

Pure jets

- significant difference of hadron ratios for medium modified and unmodified jets at high momenta
- ratios level off at high hadron momenta

$$D^{p,K}\left(\ln\frac{p}{M_{p,K}}\right) \Big/ D^{\pi}\left(\ln\frac{p}{M_{\pi}}\right)$$



ratios in medium-modified jets larger



- ratios in medium-modified jets larger
- there is always a region of the ratio enhancement





- ratios in medium-modified jets larger
- there is always a region of the ratio enhancement



THE MODEL OF UNDERLYING EVENT

[R.J.Fries, B.Müller, C.Nonaka, S.A.Bass; 2003]

Two competing mechanisms

- recombination of constituent quarks $v_{\perp} = 0.55 \text{ (RHIC)}, v_{\perp} \approx 0.7 \text{ (LHC)},$ T = 175 MeV
- fragmentation of perturbative partons KKP parametrization, suppression of particles with high p taken into account

THE MODEL OF UNDERLYING EVENT

[R.J.Fries, B.Müller, C.Nonaka, S.A.Bass; 2003]

Two competing mechanisms

- recombination of constituent quarks $v_{\perp} = 0.55 \text{ (RHIC)}, v_{\perp} \approx 0.7 \text{ (LHC)},$ T = 175 MeV
- fragmentation of perturbative partons KKP parametrization, suppression of particles with high p taken into account



THE MODEL OF UNDERLYING EVENT

[R.J.Fries, B.Müller, C.Nonaka, S.A.Bass; 2003]

Two competing mechanisms

- recombination of constituent quarks $v_{\perp} = 0.55 \text{ (RHIC)}, v_{\perp} \approx 0.7 \text{ (LHC)},$ T = 175 MeV
- fragmentation of perturbative partons KKP parametrization, suppression of particles with high p taken into account

LHC spectra expected to be dominated by recombination component up to the momenta higher by 2 GeV w.r.t. RHIC spectra



HADRON SPECTRA



jet cone size: $\theta_c = 0.28$ factor 0.7 for kaons from jet

- characteristically different spectra for the soft background and jets
- despite the high multiplicity environment the harder distribution of jets dominates rapidity over the background at momenta around 5-7 GeV
- the larger jet energy the stronger the effect
- proton spectra particularly well separated

HADRON SPECTRA



jet cone size: $\theta_c = 0.28$ factor 0.7 for kaons from jet

- the slope steepens in the presence of medium
- medium affects hadrochemistry within the jet cone
- medium modification varies with hadron species and jet energy
- modified spectra well separated from the background



jet cone size: $\theta_c = 0.28$ factor 0.7 for kaons from jet

Jets + background

- difference of hadron ratios persists
- mild dependence on energy for E_{jet} and θ_c for certain p-range

MODIFICATION FACTORS



jet cone size: $\theta_c = 0.28$ factor 0.7 for kaons from jet

Jets + background

$$J_{\rm AA} \equiv \frac{\frac{dN}{dp}\Big|_{\rm med}}{\frac{dN}{dp}\Big|_{\rm vac}}$$

- critical momentum varies significantly both with hadron species and with energy
- protons the least sensitive to the background

MODIFICATION FACTORS



jet cone size: $\theta_c = 0.28$ factor 0.7 for kaons from jet

Jets + background $D^{p}_{med}/D^{\pi}_{med} > D^{p}_{vac}/D^{\pi}_{vac}$

 $D_{\mathrm{med}}^p/D_{\mathrm{vac}}^p > D_{\mathrm{med}}^\pi/D_{\mathrm{vac}}^\pi$

 \downarrow

MODIFICATION FACTORS



jet cone size: $\theta_c = 0.28$ factor 0.7 for kaons from jet

Jets + background $D_{med}^{p}/D_{med}^{\pi} > D_{vac}^{p}/D_{vac}^{\pi}$ \downarrow $D_{med}^{p}/D_{vac}^{p} > D_{med}^{\pi}/D_{vac}^{\pi}$



SUMMARY

The prediction



Central result: enhanced parton splitting alone without modification of hadronization can lead to significant changes in the hadronic composition of jets at the LHC

SUMMARY

- Though formulated within a specific approach our result is to large extend generic
- Modifications of spectra and ratios vary with hadron species and jet energies
- Because of characteristically different hadrochemistry of jets and the soft background this signature persists even if one does not separate the two