Glauber Monte-Carlo predictions for LHC *

Maciej Rybczyński

Institite of Physics, Świętokrzyska Academy, Kielce, Poland

*based on W. Broniowski, P. Bożek and M. Rybczyński, PRC 76 054905 (2007)

OUTLINE

- 1. What is Glauber Monte-Carlo?
- 2. Perfect tool: GLISSANDO GLauber Initial State Simulation AND mOre
- 3. Physical phenomena and quantities used for simulation:
 a) Flow, eccentricity, event-by-event fluctuations of flow,
 b) Multiplicity fluctuations.

WHY GEOMETRY MATTERS?



GLAUBER CALCULATIONS

- Nucleons are distributed according to a density function (e.g. Woods-Saxon)
- Nucleons travel in straight lines and are not deflected as they pass through the other nucleus
- Nucleons interact according to the inelastic cross section $\sigma_{\rm NN}$ measured in pp collisions, even after interacting
 - Participants counts nucleons which interact
 - Binary collisions counts collisions



Roy Glauber

MONTE-CARLO SIMULATONS IN GLAUBER MODELS: A TYPICAL GOLD-GOLD EVENT



Sizeable fluctuations of the center of mass and the quadrupole axes

Aguiar+Kodama+Osada+Hama 2001, Miller+Snellings 2003, Bhalerao+Blaizot+Borghini+Ollitrault 2005, Andrade+Grassi+Hama+Kodama+Socolowski 2006, Voloshin 2006, ...

MONTE-CARLO SIMULATONS IN GLAUBER MODELS: GLAUBER-LIKE MODELS TESTED

 \checkmark wounded nucleons, e.g.: $\sigma_w = 65 \text{ mb}, d = 0.4 \text{ fm}$

 \checkmark mixed model, e.g.: 85.5% wounded + 14.5% binary, $\sigma_w = \sigma_{bin} = 65 \text{ mb}$

 \checkmark hot spots, e.g.: $\sigma_w = 65 \text{ mb}, \sigma_{bin} = 0.5 \text{ mb}.$

 \checkmark hot spots + Γ .

One goal:

compare various Glauber-like models

PERFECT TOOL:

GLISSANDO

GLauber Initial State Simulation AND mOre

GENERAL FEATURES

GLISSANDO is a Glauber Monte-Carlo generator for earlystages of relativistic heavy-ion collisions, written in c++ and interfaced to Root.

The program can be used for simulation of large variety of colliding systems: p+A, d+A and A+A at wide spectrum of energies.

Several models are implemented: the wounded-nucleon model, the binary collisions model, the mixed model, and the model with hot-spots.

GENERAL FEATURES

The program generates inter alia the fixed axes (standard) and variable-axes (participant) two-dimensional profiles of the density of sources in the transverse plane and their Fourier components.

These profiles can be used in further analyses of physical phenomena, such as the jet quenching, event-by-event hydrodynamics, or analysis of the elliptic flow and its fluctuations.

GENERAL FEATURES

Characteristics of the event (multiplicities, eccentricities, Fourier coefficients, etc.) are evaluated and stored in a file for further off-line studies.

A number of scripts is provided for that purpose.

EXAMPLE ANALYSIS: Pb+Pb@5.5 TeV/n minbias

GLISSANDO ver. 1.1

 $\begin{array}{l} 208+208, 100000 \ events \\ b=0.0 \ - 25.0 \ fm \\ DW=0.7 \ fm, \ DB1N=0.7 \ fm \\ m_y=2-1000, \ weight=100000.0 \\ mixed \ model: \ \sigma_w=65.0 \ mb, \ \sigma_{bin}=65.0 \ mb, \ \alpha=0.145 \end{array}$





GLISSANDO ver. 1.1 208+208, 100000 events b=0.0 - 25.0 fm DW=0.7 fm, DBIN=0.7 fm $N_{\mu}=2 - 1000, \qquad weight=100000.0$ mixed model: $\sigma_w = 65.0 \text{ mb}, \sigma_{bin} = 65.0 \text{ mb}, \alpha = 0.145$

Three-dimensional density profiles



variable-axes density



EXAMPLE ANALYSIS: Pb+Pb@5.5 TeV/n minbias



A simple correlation plot...

EXAMPLE ANALYSIS: Pb+Pb@5.5 TeV/n semi-central

GLISSANDO ver. 1.1

208+208, 100000 events b=6.0 fm DW=0.7 fm, DBIN=0.7 fm N_w =2 - 1000, weight=100000.0 mixed model: σ_w = 65.0 mb, σ_{bin} =65.0 mb, α =0.145



GLISSANDO ver. 1.1

208+208, 100000 events b=6.0 fm DW=0.7 fm, DBIN=0.7 fm N_w =2 - 1000, weight=100000.0 mixed model: σ_w = 65.0 mb, σ_{bin} =65.0 mb, α =0.145



Fixed- and variable-axes Fourier profiles

EXAMPLE ANALYSIS: Pb+Pb@5.5 TeV/n minbias

GLISSANDO ver. 1.1

208+208, 100000 events b=0.0 - 25.0 fm DW=0.7 fm, DBIN=0.7 fm N $_w$ =2 - 1000, weight=100000.0 mixed model: σ_w = 65.0 mb, σ_{bin} =65.0 mb, α =0.145



Fixed- and variable-axes eccentricities and their scaled standard deviations as functions of number of wounded nucleons GLISSANDO ver. 1.1

208+208, 100000 events b=0.0 - 25.0 fm DW=0.7 fm, DBIN=0.7 fm $N_w=2 - 1000$, weight=100000.0 mixed model: $\sigma_w= \delta 5.0 \text{ mb}$, $\sigma_{bin}=65.0 \text{ mb}$, $\alpha=0.145$



FLOW

Flow has been observed in heavy ion experiments in a wide energy range.

Elliptic flow v_2 provides information on the early stage of the collision, where QGP is expected.

Hydrodynamic calculations are capable to describe existing data.

The same models can be used to make extrapolations to LHC .

FLOW

Flow is an ever present phenomenon in nucleus-nucleus collision, which correlates the momentum distribution of particles with the <u>spatial eccentricity</u> of the overlap.



Elliptic flow is the second coefficient of the Fourier expansion of the azimuthal particle distribution:

$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{dp^{3}} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{dN}{p_{T}dp_{T}dy}\left(1 + \sum_{n} 2v_{n}\cos(n[\phi - \Psi_{R}])\right) \qquad v_{n} = \langle\cos(n[\phi - \Psi_{R}])\rangle$$

ORIGIN OF FLOW

Elliptic Flow originates from the amount of rescattering between particles and the spatial eccentricity:

^{0.35} F

17/25

- amount of rescattering increases with centrality
- spatial eccentricity decrease with centrality

 $v_2 =$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle y^2 \rangle - \langle x^2 \rangle}{\langle y^2 \rangle + \langle x^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\langle p_x^2 \rangle - \langle p_y^2 \rangle}{\langle p_x^2 \rangle + \langle p_y^2 \rangle}$$

EVENT-BY-EVENT FLUCTUACTIONS OF v_2

At low azimuthal asymmetry one expects on hydrodynamical grounds:

$$\frac{\Delta v_2^*}{v_2^*} = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon^*}{\varepsilon^*}$$
$$\frac{\Delta v_2^*}{v_2^*} (b=0) = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon^*}{\varepsilon^*} (b=0) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{\pi} - 1} \approx 0.52$$

For peripheral collisions (collection of a few p - p collisions) also:

$$\frac{\Delta v_2^*}{v_2^*}(b \sim 2R) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{\pi} - 1} \simeq 0.52$$

(replace coordinates by momenta and repeat the above analysis)

At intermediate *b* lower values

Details in: *PRC 76 054905 (2007)*

EVENT-BY-EVENT FLUCTUACTIONS OF v2



RHIC measures the v_2^* , as it cannot determine accurately the reaction plane!

EVENT-BY-EVENT FLUCTUACTIONS OF v_2



Glauber Monte-Carlo prediction for LHC energy

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS ON MULTIPLICITY FLUCTUATIONS





PHENIX data

LARGE

fluctuations for semiperipheral collisions

MULTIPLICITY FLUCTUATIONS @ SPS



Glauber Monte-Carlo in NA49 acceptance

MULTIPLICITY FLUCTUACTIONS @ LHC



Glauber Monte-Carlo prediction for LHC energy

23/25

SUMMARY (I)

- ✓ Predictions of Glauber Monte-Carlo calculations for fluctuations of elliptic flow at LHC energy were done.
- ✓ Glauber Monte-Carlo calculations cannot fully explain the non-monotonic behaviour of multiplicity fluctuations at SPS and RHIC energies.

SUMMARY (II)

- We hope that with its flexibility and simplicity GLISSANDO will become a useful tool for the heavy-ion community.
- The open-source nature of the code allows for check-ups additions and improvements.
- We have provided examples of numerous applications: determination of A+B cross-section and centrality classes, analysis of eccentricities both in the fixed- and variableaxes frame, event-by-event fluctuations, correlation of various quantities.
- Program is obtainable from: http://www.pu.kielce.pl/homepages/mryb/GLISSANDO/

Back-up

NON-CENTRAL HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS: COORDINATE SYSTEM



MONTE-CARLO SIMULATONS IN GLAUBER MODELS: ECCENTRICITY VS CENTRALITY AT RHIC



EXAMPLE ANALYSIS: Pb+Pb@5.5 TeV/n minbias

GLISSANDO ver. 1.1

208+208, 100000 events b=0.0 - 25.0 fm DW=0.7 fm, DBIN=0.7 fm $N_w=2 - 1000$, weight=100000.0 mixed model: $\sigma_w= 85.0 \text{ mb}$, $\sigma_{bin}=65.0 \text{ mb}$, $\alpha=0.145$



Disperssions of the x and y locations of sources as a function of number of wounded nucleons

The histogram of the radial density for the distribution of centers of nucleons

GLISSANDO ver. 1.1 A=208

WS fit to distribution of centers of nucleons



ECCENTRICITY FLUCTUATIONS: TOY MODEL

fixed axes (standard): $\varepsilon = \langle \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos(2\phi_k) \rangle = 0$

variable axes (participant): $\varepsilon^* = \langle \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos[2(\phi_k - \phi^*)] \rangle$

 ϕ^* : quantity $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos[2(\phi_k - \phi^*)]$ maximized in each event.

$$X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sin(2\phi_k) \qquad Y = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos(2\phi_k)$$

For large n the distribution of X and Y is Gaussian, thus from Central Limit Theorem we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varepsilon^* \rangle &= \int dX dY \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2} \exp\left(-n(X^2 + Y^2)\right) \\ \langle \varepsilon^{*2} \rangle &= \int dX dY (X^2 + Y^2) \exp\left(-n(X^2 + Y^2)\right) \\ \\ \frac{\Delta \varepsilon^*}{\varepsilon^*} &= \frac{\sqrt{\langle \varepsilon^{*2} \rangle - \langle \varepsilon^* \rangle^2}}{\varepsilon^*} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{\pi} - 1} \simeq 0.523 \end{aligned}$$



is in agreement with $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*$ fluctuations

FLOW & CENTRALITY OF COLLISION



(near) Central Collision







HYDRO PREDICTIONS ON v_2



SEARCHING FOR QGP STATE



The transition to the QGP state is expected when the matter is: 10x denser than atomic nuclei 10⁵x hotter than interior of the Sun

> $T \sim 150 \text{ MeV} (1 \text{ eV} \sim 10^4 \text{ K})$ $\varepsilon \sim 1 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$

Non-monotonic dependence of p_T and N fluctuations on control parameters (energy, centrality, ion size) can help to locate the second-order critical end-point, if it coincides with the freezeout point.

M. Stephanov, K. Rajagopal, E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D60, 114028, 1999

FLUCTUATIONS AT PHASE BOUNDARIES! 34/28

MONTE-CARLO SIMULATONS IN GLAUBER MODELS: GLAUBER-LIKE MODELS TESTED

 \checkmark wounded nucleons, $\sigma_w = 42 \text{ mb}, d = 0.4 \text{ fm}$

 \checkmark mixed model: 85.5% wounded + 14.5% binary, $\sigma_w = \sigma_{bin} = 42 \text{ mb}$

- ✓ hot spots: $\sigma_w = 42 \text{ mb}, \sigma_{bin} = 0.5 \text{ mb}$. When a rare binary collision occurs it produces on the average a large amount of the transverse energy = $14.5\% \times \sigma_w / \sigma_{bin}$
- ✓ hot spots + Γ : Sources may deposit the transverse energy with a certain probability distribution. We superimpose the Γ distribution with $\kappa = 0.5$ over the distribution of sources,

$$g(w,\kappa) = w^{\kappa-1} \kappa^{\kappa} \exp(-\kappa w) / \Gamma(\kappa),$$

where $\overline{w} = 1$ and $var(w) = 1/\kappa$

One goal:

compare various Glauber-like models

COMPARISON WITH MODELS

