Recursive equations for arbitrary scattering processes at tree order *and beyond*

Costas G. Papadopoulos

Epiphany Conference, January 2007, Krakow

• Reliable cross section computation and event generation for multiparticle processes, with $\sim 10-12$ particles in the final state.

- Reliable cross section computation and event generation for multiparticle processes, with $\sim 10-12$ particles in the final state.
- HELAC: A.Kanaki and C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 132 (2000) 306, hep-ph/0002082.
 Matrix element computation algorithm, based on Dyson-Schwinger equations, including: EWK, QCD, fermion masses, reliable arithmetic, running couplings and masses

- Reliable cross section computation and event generation for multiparticle processes, with $\sim 10-12$ particles in the final state.
- HELAC: A.Kanaki and C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 132 (2000) 306, hep-ph/0002082.
 Matrix element computation algorithm, based on Dyson-Schwinger equations, including: EWK, QCD, fermion masses, reliable arithmetic, running couplings and masses

PHEGAS:C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 137 (2001) 247, hep-ph/0007335Monte-Carlo phase space integration/generation basedon optimized multichannel approach.

- Reliable cross section computation and event generation for multiparticle processes, with $\sim 10-12$ particles in the final state.
- HELAC: A.Kanaki and C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 132 (2000) 306, hep-ph/0002082.
 Matrix element computation algorithm, based on Dyson-Schwinger equations, including: EWK, QCD, fermion masses, reliable arithmetic, running couplings and masses

PHEGAS: C.G.Papadopoulos, CPC 137 (2001) 247, hep-ph/0007335 Monte-Carlo phase space integration/generation based on optimized multichannel approach.

hep-ph/0012004 and Tokyo 2001, (CPP2001) Computational particle physics, p. 20-25

T. Gleisberg, et al. Eur. Phys. J. C 34 (2004) 173

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Old Feynman graphs \to computational cost $\sim n!$ \end{tabular}$

Old Feynman graphs \rightarrow computational cost $\sim n!$

New Dyson-Schwinger \rightarrow computational cost $\sim 3^n$

P.Draggiotis, R.H.Kleiss and C.G.Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. B439 (1998) 157

F. Caravaglios and M. Moretti, Phys. Lett. B358 (1995) 332

F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 759.

Old Feynman graphs \rightarrow computational cost $\sim n!$

New Dyson-Schwinger \rightarrow computational cost $\sim 3^n$

P.Draggiotis, R.H.Kleiss and C.G.Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. B439 (1998) 157

F. Caravaglios and M. Moretti, Phys. Lett. B358 (1995) 332

F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 759.

• Example: $e^-e^+ \rightarrow e^-e^+e^-e^+$ in QED:



The Dyson-Schwinger recursion

• Imagine a theory with 3- and 4- point vertices and just one field. Then it is straightforward to write an equation that gives the amplitude for $1 \rightarrow n$





HELAC

- Construction of the skeleton solution of the Dyson-Schwinger equations. At this stage only integer arithmetic is performed. This is part of the initialization phase.
- Dressing-up the skeleton with momenta, provided by PHEGAS and wave functions, propagators, *n*-point functions in general.
- Unitary and Feynman gauges implemented. Due to multi-precision arithmetic, tests of gauge invariance can be extended to arbitrary precision.
- All fermions masses can be non-zero.
- All Electroweak and QCD vertices are implemented, including Higgs and would-be Goldstone bosons.



• Ordinary approach SU(N)-type

$$\mathcal{A}^{a_1 \ldots a_n} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}} \ldots T^{a_{\sigma_n}}) \quad A(\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_n)$$

Colour Configuration - $\mathbf{EWK} \oplus \mathbf{QCD}$

• Ordinary approach SU(N)-type

$$\mathcal{A}^{a_1...a_n} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}}...T^{a_{\sigma_n}}) \quad A(\sigma_1...\sigma_n)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}} \dots T^{a_{\sigma_n}})Tr(T^{a_{\sigma'_1}} \dots T^{a_{\sigma'_n}})$$

Colour Configuration - $EWK \oplus QCD$

• Ordinary approach SU(N)-type

$$\mathcal{A}^{a_1...a_n} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}}...T^{a_{\sigma_n}}) \quad A(\sigma_1...\sigma_n)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \sum Tr(T^{a_{\sigma_1}} \dots T^{a_{\sigma_n}})Tr(T^{a_{\sigma'_1}} \dots T^{a_{\sigma'_n}})$$

Quarks and gluons treated differently

• New approach U(N)-type

Each color-configuration amplitude is proportional to

$$D_i = \delta_{1,\sigma_i(1)} \delta_{2,\sigma_i(2)} \dots \delta_{n,\sigma_i(n)}$$

where σ_i represents the *i*-th permutation of the set $1, 2, \ldots, n$.

• New approach U(N)-type

Each color-configuration amplitude is proportional to

$$D_i = \delta_{1,\sigma_i(1)} \delta_{2,\sigma_i(2)} \dots \delta_{n,\sigma_i(n)}$$

where σ_i represents the *i*-th permutation of the set $1, 2, \ldots, n$.

- \star quarks $1 \dots n$
- \star antiquarks $\sigma_i(1 \dots n)$ and
- \star gluons = $q\bar{q}$

• New approach U(N)-type

Each color-configuration amplitude is proportional to

$$D_i = \delta_{1,\sigma_i(1)} \delta_{2,\sigma_i(2)} \dots \delta_{n,\sigma_i(n)}$$

where σ_i represents the *i*-th permutation of the set $1, 2, \ldots, n$.

- \star quarks $1 \dots n$
- \star antiquarks $\sigma_i(1 \dots n)$ and
- \star gluons = $q\bar{q}$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \sum D_i D_j = N^lpha_c \;,\;\; lpha = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2
angle$$

• New approach U(N)-type

Each color-configuration amplitude is proportional to

$$D_i = \delta_{1,\sigma_i(1)} \delta_{2,\sigma_i(2)} \dots \delta_{n,\sigma_i(n)}$$

where σ_i represents the *i*-th permutation of the set $1, 2, \ldots, n$.

- \star quarks $1 \dots n$
- \star antiquarks $\sigma_i(1 \dots n)$ and
- \star gluons = $q\bar{q}$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \sum D_i D_j = N^lpha_c \;,\;\; lpha = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2
angle$$

\blacklozenge exact color treatment \Rightarrow low color charge

Problem: number of colour connection configurations: $\sim n!$ where n is the number of gluons or $q\bar{q}$ pairs. \Rightarrow Monte-Carlo over continuous colour-space.









The high-colour processes

The idea is to replace colour summation with integration and then follow a MC approach

$$egin{aligned} G^{\mu}_{AB}(P_i) &= \sum_{a=1}^8 G^a(P_i) \eta^a(z) = \sqrt{6} \left(z_{iA} z^*_{iB} - rac{1}{3} \delta_{AB}
ight) \epsilon^{\mu}_{\lambda}(P_i) \ \psi_A(P_i) &= \sqrt{3} \; u(P_i) \; z_{iA} \ ar{\psi}_A(P_i) &= \sqrt{3} \; ar{u}(P_i) \; z^*_{iA} \end{aligned}$$

In such a representation the amplitude can be seen

$$\mathcal{M}(z_1,z_2,\ldots) = \sum z_1 \cdot z_{\sigma(i)_1} z_2 \cdot z_{\sigma(i)_2} \ldots \mathcal{A}_i$$

and the MC is over

$$\int [dz] \equiv \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 dz_i dz_i^*
ight) \delta(\sum_{i=1}^3 z_i z_i^* - 1)$$

where

$$egin{aligned} &\int [dz]G_{AB}G_{CD} = \int [dz]\sqrt{6}\left(z_A z_B^* - rac{1}{3}\delta_{AB}
ight)\sqrt{6}\left(z_C z_D^* - rac{1}{3}\delta_{AB}
ight) \ &= rac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{AD}\delta_{CB} - rac{1}{3}\delta_{AB}\delta_{CD}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Multi-jet processes

Beyond any colour treatment a summation over different flavours is also needed.

Up to now the most straightforward way was to count the distinct processes and then multiply with a multiplicity factor, i.e.

process	Flavour		
gg ightarrow ggg	1		
$qar{q} o ggg$	8		
qg ightarrow qgg	8		
qg ightarrow qgg	8		
gg ightarrow q ar q g	5		
$qar{q} o qar{q}g$	8		
$qar{q} o rar{r}g$	32		
qq ightarrow qqg	8		
$qar{r} o qar{r} g$	24		
qr ightarrow qrg	24		
$qg ightarrow qqar{q}$	8		
$qg ightarrow qrar{r}$	32		
gq ightarrow qqar q	8		
$gq ightarrow qrar{r}$	32		

initial-state type		distinct processes	multiplicity factor		
Α	(gg)	$C_1(n)$	$\chi(n_0,n_1,\ldots,n_f;f)$		
В	(qar q)	$C_2(n)$	$\chi(n_0,n_2,\ldots,n_f;f-1)$		
С	$(gq { m and} qg)$	$C_2(n-1)$	$\chi(n_0,n_2,\ldots,n_f;f-1)$		
D	(qq)	$C_2(n-2)$	$\chi(n_0,n_2,\ldots,n_f;f-1)$		
E	$(qq' ext{ and } qar q')$	$C_{3}(n-2)$	$\chi(n_0,n_3,\ldots,n_f;f-2)$		

In order to clarify what we mean we consider the example of the type A initial state. Each distinct process is defined by an array (n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_f) . For instance, in the case of four-jet production we have

$(4,\!0,\!0,\!0,\!0,\!0)$	gg ightarrow gggg
$(2,\!1,\!0,\!0,\!0,\!0)$	$gg ightarrow ggqar{q}$
$(0,\!2,\!0,\!0,\!0,\!0)$	gg ightarrow q ar q q ar q
$(0,\!1,\!1,\!0,\!0,\!0)$	gg ightarrow qar q rar r

$$C_1(n) = \sum_{n_0+2n_1+\ldots+2n_f=n} \Theta(n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \ldots \ge n_f)$$

$$C_{2}(n) = \sum_{n_{0}+2n_{1}+\ldots+2n_{f}=n} \Theta(n_{2} \ge n_{3} \ge \ldots \ge n_{f})$$

and

$$C_{3}(n) = \sum_{n_{0}+2n_{1}+\ldots+2n_{f}=n} \Theta(n_{3} \ge n_{4} \ge \ldots \ge n_{f})$$

A distinct process, given by the array (n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_f) has a multiplicity factor :

$$\chi(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_f; f) = n_f(n_f - 1) \dots (n_f - j + 1)/j!$$

j = f if $\prod_{i=1}^{f} n_i \neq 0$ j = f - 1 if $\prod_{i=1}^{f-1} n_i \neq 0$ \dots j = 1 if $n_1 \neq 0$ j = 0 otherwise

Now we can think of a flavour-MC, so the wave function is multiplied by an N_f -dimensional array representing flavour, $\vec{f} = \sqrt{N_f}(f_1, f_2, ...)$ such that $\langle f_i f_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ with a weight proportional to the relevant pdf for initial state flavours

In that case a process like

$$gg \rightarrow ggq\bar{q}q\bar{q}$$

will actually represent a plethora of processes.

The number of distinct processes is now given by

9k + 3 if n = 2k and 9k + 7 if n = 2k + 1

# of jets	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
# of D-processes	12	16	21	24	30	34	39	43	48
# of dist.processes	10	14	28	36	64	78	130	154	241
total $\#$ of processes	126	206	621	861	1862	2326	4342	5142	8641

Multi-jet rates

 $p_{T\ i} > 60 \; GeV, ~~ heta_{ij} > 30^o ~~ |\eta_i| < 3$

# jets	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\sigma(nb)$	91.41	6.54	0.458	$2.97 imes 10^{-2}$	2.21×10^{-3}	$2.12 imes 10^{-4}$
% Gluon	45.7	39.2	35.7	35.1	33.8	26.6

A new code \Rightarrow JetI

- anybody to tell us how many Feynman graphs in $gg \rightarrow 8g$?
- or $gg \rightarrow 2g3u3\bar{u}$?



Summation/Integration over color



Summation/Integration over color

$$\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{arepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{a_i\}_1^n) \sim \sum_{P(2,...,n)} Tr(t^{a_1} \dots t^{a_n}) \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{arepsilon_i\}_1^n)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{I_i, J_i\}_1^n) \sim \sum_{P(2,...,n)} \delta_{I_1, P(J_1)} \dots \delta_{I_n, P(J_n)} \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n)$$

Summation/Integration over color

$$\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{a_i\}_1^n) \sim \sum_{P(2,...,n)} Tr(t^{a_1} \dots t^{a_n}) \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n)$$

 $\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{I_i, J_i\}_1^n) \sim \sum_{P(2, \dots, n)} \delta_{I_1, P(J_1)} \dots \delta_{I_n, P(J_n)} \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n)$

$$\sum_{\{a_i\}_1^n \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n} |\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{a_i\}_1^n)|^2 = g^{2n-4} \sum_{\varepsilon} \sum_{ij} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{C}_{ij} \mathcal{A}_j^*$$
Summation/Integration over color

$$\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{a_i\}_1^n) \sim \sum_{P(2,...,n)} Tr(t^{a_1} \dots t^{a_n}) \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n)$$

 $\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{I_i, J_i\}_1^n) \sim \sum_{P(2,...,n)} \delta_{I_1, P(J_1)} \dots \delta_{I_n, P(J_n)} \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n)$

$$\sum_{\{a_i\}_1^n \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n} |\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{a_i\}_1^n)|^2 = g^{2n-4} \sum_{\varepsilon} \sum_{ij} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{C}_{ij} \mathcal{A}_j^*$$

$$\sum_{P(2,...,n)} \sim n^{1/2}$$

Summation/Integration over color

$$\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{arepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{a_i\}_1^n) \sim \sum_{P(2,...,n)} Tr(t^{a_1} \dots t^{a_n}) \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{arepsilon_i\}_1^n)$$

 $\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{I_i, J_i\}_1^n) \sim \sum_{P(2,...,n)} \delta_{I_1, P(J_1)} \dots \delta_{I_n, P(J_n)} \mathcal{A}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n)$

$$\sum_{\{a_i\}_1^n \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n} |\mathcal{M}(\{p_i\}_1^n, \{\varepsilon_i\}_1^n, \{a_i\}_1^n)|^2 = g^{2n-4} \sum_{\varepsilon} \sum_{ij} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{C}_{ij} \mathcal{A}_j^*$$

$$\sum_{P(2,...,n)} \sim n!$$

$$\sum_{\{I_i,J_i\}_1^n} \sim 3^n imes 3^n$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \overset{A}{_{B}} & \longrightarrow & \overset{A}{_{vortex}} & \overset{*}{_{gluon-q-q}} \\ \\ \left[A^{\mu}(P); (A, B)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\ \delta(P - p_{i}) \ A^{\mu}(p_{i}); (A, B)_{i}\right] + \\ \\ \sum \left[(ig) \ \Pi^{\mu}_{\rho} \ V^{\rho\nu\lambda}(P, p_{1}, p_{2}) A_{\nu}(p_{1}) A_{\lambda}(p_{2}) \sigma(p_{1}, p_{2}); (A, B) = (C, D)_{1} \otimes (E, F)_{2} \right] \\ \\ - \sum \left[(g^{2}) \ \Pi^{\mu}_{\sigma} \ G^{\sigma\nu\lambda\rho}(P, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}) A_{\nu}(p_{1}) A_{\lambda}(p_{2}) A_{\rho}(p_{3}) \sigma(p_{1}, p_{2} + p_{3}); \\ (A, B) = (C, D)_{1} \otimes (E, F)_{2} \otimes (G, H)_{3} \right] \\ \\ + \sum_{P = p_{1} + p_{2}} \left[(ig) \ \Pi^{\mu}_{\nu} \ \bar{\psi}(p_{1}) \gamma^{\nu} \psi(p_{2}) \sigma(p_{1}, p_{2}); (A, B) = (0, D)_{1} \otimes (C, 0)_{2} \right] \\ \\ \text{where } A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H = 1, 2, 3. \end{array}$$



$$\begin{split} [A^{\mu}(P);(A,B)] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\ \delta(P-p_{i}) \ A^{\mu}(p_{i});(A,B)_{i}] + \\ [\ (ig) \ \Pi^{\mu}_{\rho} \ V^{\rho\nu\lambda}(P,p_{1},p_{2})A_{\nu}(p_{1})A_{\lambda}(p_{2})\sigma(p_{1},p_{2});(A,B) &= (C,D)_{1}\otimes(E,F)_{2}] \\ &+ \\ [\ (ig) \ \Pi^{\mu}_{\sigma} \ (g^{\sigma\lambda}g^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\lambda}g^{\sigma\rho}) \ A_{\nu}(p_{1})H_{\lambda\rho}(p_{2})\sigma(p_{1},p_{2});(A,B) &= (C,D)_{1}\otimes(E,F)_{2}] \\ &+ \\ [\ (ig) \ \Pi^{\mu}_{\nu} \ \bar{\psi}(p_{1})\gamma^{\nu}\psi(p_{2})\sigma(p_{1},p_{2});(A,B) &= (0,D)_{1}\otimes(C,0)_{2}] \\ \text{and} \\ [H^{\mu\nu}(P);(A,B)] &= \sum_{P=p_{1}+p_{2}} [\ (ig) \ (g^{\mu\lambda}g^{\nu\rho} - g^{\nu\lambda}g^{\mu\rho}) \ A_{\lambda}(p_{1})A_{\rho}(p_{2})\sigma(p_{1},p_{2}); \\ & (A,B) &= (C,D)_{1}\otimes(E,F)_{2}]. \end{split}$$









$$N_{\rm CC} = \sum_{A=0}^{n_q} \sum_{B=0}^{n_q-1} \sum_{C=0}^{n_q-A-B} \left(rac{n_q!}{A!B!C!}
ight)^2 \delta(n_q = A + B + C)$$

$$N_{
m CC} = \sum_{A=0}^{n_q} \sum_{B=0}^{n_q-1} \sum_{C=0}^{n_q-A-B} \left(rac{n_q!}{A!B!C!}
ight)^2 \delta(n_q = A + B + C)$$

Process	$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{CC}}^{\mathbf{ALL}}$	N _{CC}	$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CC}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ (%)
gg ightarrow 2g	6561	639	59.1
gg ightarrow 3g	59049	4653	68.4
gg ightarrow 4g	531441	35169	77.4
gg ightarrow 5g	4782969	272835	85.0
gg ightarrow 6g	43046721	2157759	90.4
gg ightarrow 7g	387420489	17319837	94.0
gg ightarrow 8g	3486784401	140668065	96.4

Process	$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{CC}}^{\mathbf{ALL}}$	N _{CC}	$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CC}}^{\mathrm{F}}$ (%)
$gg ightarrow uar{u}$ $gg ightarrow guar{u}$ $gg ightarrow guar{u}$ $gg ightarrow 2guar{u}$ $gg ightarrow 3guar{u}$ $gg ightarrow 4guar{u}$	729	93	93.5
	6561	639	91.6
	59049	4653	92.6
	531441	35169	94.6
	4782969	272835	96.4
$gg ightarrow 5guar{u}$ $gg ightarrow 6guar{u}$	43046721	2157759	97.8
	387420489	17319837	98.6
$gg ightarrow c ar{c} c ar{c}$	6561	639	99.1
$gg ightarrow g c ar{c} c ar{c}$	59049	4653	98.8
$gg ightarrow 2g c ar{c} c ar{c}$	531441	35169	99.0
$gg ightarrow 3g c ar{c} c ar{c}$	4782969	272835	99.3
$gg ightarrow 4g c ar{c} c ar{c}$	43046721	2157759	99.6

Process	$\sigma_{ m MC}$ ± ϵ (nb)	$\varepsilon~(\%)$
gg ightarrow 7g gg ightarrow 8g gg ightarrow 9g	$(0.53185 \pm 0.01149) \times 10^{-2}$ $(0.33330 \pm 0.00804) \times 10^{-3}$ $(0.17325 \pm 0.00838) \times 10^{-4}$	2.1 2.4 4.8
$gg ightarrow 5guar{u}$ $gg ightarrow 3gcar{c}car{c}$ $gg ightarrow 4gcar{c}car{c}$	$(0.38044 \pm 0.01096) \times 10^{-3}$ $(0.95109 \pm 0.02456) \times 10^{-5}$ $(0.81400 \pm 0.02583) \times 10^{-6}$	2.8 2.6 3.2

Process	$\sigma_{ m MC}$ ± ϵ (nb)	arepsilon~(%)
$gg ightarrow Zuar{u}gg g g ightarrow W^+ar{u}dgg g g ightarrow W^+ar{u}dgg g g ightarrow ZZuar{u}gg g g ightarrow W^+W^-uar{u}gg$	$\begin{array}{l}(0.18948 \pm 0.00344) \times 10^{-3}\\(0.62704 \pm 0.01458) \times 10^{-3}\\(0.16217 \pm 0.00420) \times 10^{-6}\\(0.27526 \pm 0.00752) \times 10^{-5}\end{array}$	1.8 2.3 2.6 2.7
$egin{aligned} dar{d} & ightarrow Zuar{u}gg \ dar{d} & ightarrow W^+ar{c}sgg \ dar{d} & ightarrow ZZggggg \ dar{d} & ightarrow ZZggggg \ dar{d} & ightarrow W^+W^-ggggg \end{aligned}$	$\begin{array}{l} (0.38811 \pm 0.00569) \times 10^{-5} \\ (0.18765 \pm 0.00453) \times 10^{-5} \\ (0.99763 \pm 0.02976) \times 10^{-7} \\ (0.52355 \pm 0.01509) \times 10^{-6} \end{array}$	1.5 2.4 2.9 2.9

PHEGAS

• Phase space

$$d\Phi_n = (2\pi)^{4-3n} \prod_{i=1}^n rac{d^3 p_i}{2E_i} \delta\left(\sum E_i - w
ight) \delta^3\left(\sum ec p_i
ight)$$

• RAMBO, VEGAS-based nice but completely inefficient!

$$d\sigma_n = \mathrm{FLUX} imes |\mathcal{M}_{2
ightarrow n}|^2 d\Phi_n$$

need appropriate mappings of peaking structures, plus optimization!

 Efficiency ⇒ to a large number of generators, each one for a specific class of processes. Multichannel approach

$$\mathcal{I} = \int f(ec{x}) d\mu(ec{x}) = \int rac{f(ec{x})}{p(ec{x})} p(ec{x}) d\mu(ec{x})$$

$$p(ec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M_{ch}} lpha_i \ p_i(ec{x}) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{M_{ch}} lpha_i = 1 \ \mathcal{I} o \left\langle rac{f(ec{x})}{p(ec{x})}
ight
angle \quad \mathcal{E}^2 N o \left\langle \left(rac{f(ec{x})}{p(ec{x})}
ight)^2 - \mathcal{I}^2
ight
angle$$

 $\star \text{ Optimize } \alpha_i \Rightarrow \text{ Minimize } \mathcal{E} \star$

R.Kleiss and R.Pittau, Comput. Phys. Commun. 83, 141 (1994).

New Dyson-Schwinger equations: subamplitude is a combination of several peaking structures!

problem unsolved?

QCD antennas

P.D.Draggiotis, A.van Hameren and R.Kleiss, hep-ph/0004047.



New Dyson-Schwinger equations: subamplitude is a combination of several peaking structures!

problem unsolved? QCD antennas

 $P.D.Draggiotis, A.van \ Hameren \ and \ R.Kleiss, \ hep-ph/0004047.$

Old Feynman graphs: exhibit single peaking structure! problem solved Back to Feynman graphs:



The corresponding intrinsic representation looks like

62	-2	4	-2	58	31
58	31	2	-2	56	2
56	2	48	33	8	1
48	33	16	-3	32	4



http://www.cern.ch/helac-phegas/

- Complete generation for pp and $p\bar{p}$ collisions, including all sub-processes. We do not exclude any processes!
- Interfacing with Pythia, including CKKW-like reweighting and use of UPVETO à la MLM.
- The new version, to become publicly available very soon. Suitable for multi-color final states (more than 7 equivalent gluons at the final state, i.e. 14 quarks (antiquarks) !)

Higher-order corrections

- Fermion-loop corrections have been implemented and studied for up to 3-point vertices.
- We have started the computation of 4-point contributions.
 - FORM has been used to reduce the expressions to
 Passarino-Veltman coefficient functions
 - FF has been updated to include level-4 tensor coefficient functions for 4-point integrals.
 - Implementation and checking in HELAC is in progress.
- This implementation will allow to study 4 fermion $+\gamma$ and 6 fermion production including the running of electroweak couplings.

• Fermion-loop corrections to six-fermion production process

$$e^-e^+
ightarrow \mu^- ar{
u}_\mu u ar{d} \, au^- au^+$$

- Number of Feynman Graphs: 208
- Number of DS vertices: 140
- Cuts: $E_l, E_q > 5 \text{GeV}$ and $m_{ll}, m_{qq} > 10 \text{GeV}$
- Results: E = 500 GeV
- $\sigma_0/ab = 54,96(26) \ \sigma_1/ab = 57,31(28) \ K/100 = 4.28(2)$
- MC data: generated: 1M(961792) used: 404842 time: 6 1/2 h

$$\begin{split} N(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \tilde{P}(q) \prod_{i}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \,. \end{split}$$

$$q^{\mu} = -p_{0}^{\mu} + y_{1}k_{1}^{\mu} + y_{n}n^{\mu} + y_{7}\ell_{7}^{\mu} + y_{8}\ell_{8}^{\mu}.$$

$$n \cdot k_{1} = 0, \text{ and } n^{2} = -k_{1}^{2}$$

$$k_{1}^{\ \mu} = \overline{k_{1}}^{\ \mu} + \frac{k_{1}^{\ 2}}{2k_{1} \cdot r}r^{\mu} \qquad n^{\mu} = \overline{k_{1}}^{\ \mu} - \frac{k_{1}^{\ 2}}{2k_{1} \cdot r}r^{\mu}$$

$$\ell_{7}^{\ \mu} = \overline{u}_{-}(\overline{k_{1}})\gamma^{\mu}u_{-}(r) \qquad \ell_{8}^{\ \mu} = \overline{u}_{-}(r)\gamma^{\mu}u_{-}(\overline{k_{1}})$$

$$= -p_{0}^{\mu} + \frac{[(q + p_{0}) \cdot k_{1}]}{k_{1}^{2}}k_{1}^{\mu} - \frac{[(q + p_{0}) \cdot n]}{k_{1}^{2}}n^{\mu} + \frac{[(q + p_{0}) \cdot \ell_{8}]}{(\ell_{7} \cdot \ell_{8})}\ell_{7}^{\mu} + \frac{[(q + p_{0}) \cdot \ell_{7}]}{(\ell_{7} \cdot \ell_{8})}\ell_{8}^{\mu}$$

 q^{μ}

$$\int d^{d}q \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{\overline{D_{0}}\overline{D_{1}}}$$

$$\frac{(-m0^{2} + m1^{2} + x^{2}) g^{m n} x^{2} + 4(m0^{2} - m1^{2} + 2x^{2}) p_{1}^{m} p_{1}^{n}}{12x^{4}}$$

$$\frac{(m0^{2} - m1^{2} + x^{2}) (x^{2} g^{m n} - 4 p_{1}^{m} p_{1}^{n})}{12x^{4}}$$

$$\frac{4\left(\mathrm{m0^{4}} + (x^{2} - 2\,\mathrm{m1^{2}})\,\mathrm{m0^{2}} + (\mathrm{m1^{2}} - x^{2})^{2}\right)p_{1}^{m}\,p_{1}^{n} - x^{2}\left(\mathrm{m0^{4}} - 2\,(\mathrm{m1^{2}} + x^{2})\,\mathrm{m0^{2}} + (\mathrm{m1^{2}} - x^{2})^{2}\right)g^{m\,n}}{12x^{4}}$$

$$\frac{D_0}{\overline{D}_0} \to \frac{\overline{D}_0 - \widetilde{q}^2}{\overline{D}_0} \to \frac{\widetilde{q}^2}{\prod \overline{D}_i}$$

$$\begin{split} \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_l} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{6} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,, \\ \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,, \\ \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} \left[m_i^2 + m_j^2 - \frac{(p_i - p_j)^2}{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \end{split}$$

Nice procedure to numerically attack the problem of computing arbitrary scattering amplitude at the one-loop level

Tests already completed with 4-photon amplitude at one loop

≻Next-to-trivial the 6-photon amplitude















Generalized vertices approach

- Tree structure with effective quantum action vertices
- Re-normalized vertices

One-particle irreducible (1PI) graphs !




Outlook

- **PHEGAS** / **HELAC**: a framework for high-energy phenomenology
- Standard Model fully included
- ★ High color charge processes: multijet production

 P.Draggiotis, R.H.Kleiss and C.G.Papadopoulos, Phys. Lett. B439 (1998) 157;
 Eur. Phys. J. C 24 (2002) 447 hep-ph/0202201
 C. G. Papadopoulos and M. Worek, arXiv:hep-ph/0512150
- ***** Higher order corrections
 - Direct approach. Ongoing work to better understand
 Dyson-Schwinger equations and loop calculations: stepping
 equations, recursive actions, etc.
 - Running couplings and masses: 4-point FL contributions and BBC non-local approch to go beyond 4-fermion final states.
- SUSY and new particles

wait and see

HEP - NCSR Democritos